SINGLE BENCH (3)

(1) C.S.100.1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO... 2529 2006

PETITIONER V.N. Nag S/o Shri D.N. Nag, aged about 37 years, Forest Ranger, Gomarda Santuary Sarangarh, R/o Forest Colony Babakuti Sarangarh Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY 10/5/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary (Special) Forest Department D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Divisional Forest Officer, Raigarh Division, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)
3. Superintendent Gomarda Sanctuary Sarangarh, Tahsil-Sarangarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

2530/06 2670/06 mshrms P.R. No..... Presented on 10/5/06 dated.....

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE COUNSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CEERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERES:

(18)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.2529/ सन् 2006

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
ant	**11-5-2006** Mr. Rewa Shankar Patel, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Sanjay Ku. Agrawal, Panel Lawyer, for the State/respondents. Heard both the counsel. By the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned his transfer order dated 17-11-2005 on the ground that he has already worked for sufficient time in the Naxalite area and he has been transferred from Gomarda Sanctuary, Sarangarh within a short span of time. The petitioner has challenged the said transfer order vide W.P.No.6287/2005 and while disposing of that writ petition on 19-12-2005, this Court allowed the petitioner for making representation against the said transfer order within a period of two weeks and the respondents were directed to decide the said representation within two months. It appears that the petitioner only furnished the stay copy of this order vide Annexure P-2 and no representation within the specified time was submitted. Now, the petitioner again filed the instant writ petition. No such request can be entertained time and again. Moreover, it is settled law that transfer is an incidence of service and same cannot be questioned before the Court of law, unless same is *mala fide*, against the statutory rules or the authority who has passed the transfer order was not competent. None of the allegations are in the petition. Therefore, this petition is devoid of merit, same is liable to be dismissed and it is accordingly dismissed, at the admission stage itself. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.1897/2006 stands disposed of. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	
Soma		

[पीछे देखिये